Cherysa P Cortez

From: Dylan Rodríguez <dylanr@ucr.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 11:41 AM

To: mohsen.elhafsi@ucr.edu

Cc: Cherysa P Cortez; 'Peter Chung' **Subject:** feedback on MA proposal

Attachments: 16-17. Campus Review. New Master Degree in Supply Chain and Logistics Management. CoDEO

response.pdf; 16-17. Campus Review. New Master Degree in Supply Chain and Logistics

Management. GC response.pdf; 16-17. Campus Review. New Master Degree in Supply Chain and Logistics Management. LIT response.pdf; 16-17. Campus Review. New Master Degree in Supply Chain

and Logistics Management. PB response.pdf

Dear Mohsen:

I'm writing to provide the Senate committees' feedback on the proposal for the new MA in Supply Chain and Logistic Management. Please take a look at the substantive responses, and consider whether you wish to revise and resubmit the proposal. Thanks for all your hard work! dylan

CC: Peter Chung, Chair of SoBA EC Cherysa Cortez, Academic Senate Director

Dylan Rodríguez Chair of the Academic Senate, UC Riverside Division Professor Dept. of Media and Cultural Studies University of California, Riverside Riverside, CA 92521

Faculty profile:

http://mcs.ucr.edu/dylan-rodriguez/

books:

Forced Passages: Imprisoned Radical Intellectuals and the U.S. Prison Regime Suspended Apocalypse: White Supremacy, Genocide, and the Filipino Condition

www.criticalresistance.org

"If one were forced for the sake of clarity to define [fascism] in a word simple enough for all to understand, that word would be 'reform."

-George Jackson



Virus-free. www.avast.com



April 24, 2017

To: Dylan Rodriguez, Chair

Riverside Division of the Academic Senate

From:

Manuela Martins-Green, Chair Manuela Musta Se Committee on Diversity & Equal Opportunity

Re: Proposal for a Master of Supply Chain & Logistics Management (round 2)

The Committee on Diversity and Equal Opportunity met to discuss the additional revisions made to SoBA's proposal for a Master of Supply Chain & Logistics Management. By a vote of +4-0-0, the Committee wholeheartedly supports the proposal and the proposed changes.



May 25, 2017

To: Dylan Rodriguez, Chair

Riverside Division

From: Ryan Julian, Chair

Graduate Council

RE: Proposal for a new Master's degree in Supply Chain and Logistics Management

Myan Julian

The Graduate Council reviewed the revised proposal for a new Master's degree in Supply Chain and Logistics Management. The Council was supportive of the proposal but still has some concerns.

In regards to the Comprehensive Exam (page 11, section 2.3), SoBA has been moving away from offering an exam in some of their other programs and instead toward this type of requirement (see MBA example below).

Plan II (Comprehensive Examination) Students who elect Plan II must complete an industry-based group case analysis as part of the management synthesis course. This case serves in lieu of a comprehensive final examination. Students whose case analyses are deemed "not acceptable" are given one additional quarter to revise them to an "acceptable" level.

Does SoBA plan on giving a comprehensive exam for this new Master's degree? If not, this needs to be adjusted.

Also, nine courses are listed under "required" but only eight courses are stated as required in the text. The internship course (298I) is not on the course list, but is mentioned in the text. Graduate Council suggests the following layout:

Required courses: MGT 201, 203, 207, 255, 256, 258, 271, 275

Capstone course: MGT ???

Internship course: MGT 298I

Elective courses (choose 6): MGT 221, 224, 230, 236, 239, 259, 266, 267, 280

To obtain systemwide approval, the proposal must state exactly where the funding is coming from, as self-supporting programs cannot be state funded.

The proposal states that USC is the only other school in Southern California that currently offers an advanced degree in supply chain management. The Council would like to know how this program will be different than USC's.

The Council suggests including a sustainability plan to the proposal. In regards to point 3.4, Ways in Which the Program Will Meet the Needs of Society, are there specific course requirements that speak to sustainability and pollution?

Lastly, the number of faculty seems to be low (5 faculty) compared to the number of students the program plans to admit (up to 40). Teaching in self-supporting programs must be in addition to the normal teaching commitments as required by the department. The Council feels that more faculty with relevant experience will be needed to make this a successful program.



June 7, 2017

To: Dylan Rodriguez

Chair, Riverside Division Academic Senate

Fr: Christian Shelton

Chair, Committee on Planning and Budget

Re: Proposal for a New Master Degree in Supply Chain and Logistics Management

On May 30, 2017, the Committee on Planning and Budget (CPB) reviewed and discussed the proposal to establish a Master's of Science in Supply Chain and Logistics Management degree program at UCR within the School of Business Administration (SOBA).

CPB has non-trivial concerns about the proposal. These concerns would be echoed by the system-wide review of the proposal and should be addressed before continuing:

* The budget is not in the proper form necessary to determine if the program is self-supporting. A few examples of difficulties are listed below.

-Exhibit II does not explain how indirects are calculated. While the footnotes provide guidance, they are not specific. For instance, footnote 3 does not state what services, facilities, or staff time are or are not supported by the SOBA indirect rate, nor how that rate is figured. Answers to question 18 are not sufficient and not included in the official proposal.

- -The budget only supplies \$10-12K for readers. \$12K in reader support for 25 students per year is not nearly sufficient. It would support approximately 1 TA for 1 quarter.
- -The budget item for a director is unclear. \$25K/year is very low. It is not clear if this is the faculty director or a staff position.
- -The attached spreadsheet is recommended from the system-wide committee on planning and budget as a way of accounting for all activities. As they will be reviewing the final document, we recommend its use.

- * There are only two academic letters of review of this proposal. Furthermore, both are from within the UC, and one of the reviewers (Corbett) did not see the proposal prior to writing his letter.
- * There is no faculty-involved professionalization component of the degree program.
- * Placement of graduates in careers is left to the Career Center. It is not clear if the Career Center has the expertise necessary to place students of this specialized graduate program. Further, the Career Center would need to be compensated for the effort for this to be a self-supporting program.
- * The "tuition per course" and "tuition" columns on the table on page 14 do not match for USC and UCR.
- * The proposal states that it was approved by the faculty in 2015. Whether this approval was by vote or not, and the extent of the participation of the faculty in the approval is not clear. Additionally, as the approval was from two years ago, it is not clear the extent to which the faculty's approval applies to the current version.
- * The CPEC standards are no longer required by the Compendium. Please see Appendix C of http://www.ucop.edu/institutional-research-academic-planning/_files/compendium_sept2014.pdf for current educational review criteria.



June 15, 2017

To: Dylan Rodriguez, Chair

Riverside Division

From: Leonard Nunney

Committee on Library and Information Technology

Re: [Campus Review] Proposal for a New Master Degree in Supply Chain and

Logistics Management

The Committee on Library and Information Technology reviewed the [Campus Review] Proposal for a New Master Degree in Supply Chain and Logistics Management.

The committee reviewed this proposal, which indicates that Library and IT support will come from the indirect cost revenue generated by the program. Specifically, it is stated that Library acquisitions and computing are allocated in the line "Instructional Databases, IT & Course Materials". Unfortunately, this line is not provided in the Financial Table referred to; however, it is stated that revenue will be distributed according to principles used for other SoBA courses.

Notwithstanding the lack of detail, the proposal does not appear to impose any undue burden on Library and IT resources, thus from this perspective the committee approves the proposal.